IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 8578 of 2002

Between:

P.Ramesh, s/o.Jagannatha Rao, r/o.Gundu Kottaiah street,

Vijayawada, Krishna district ..... PETITIONER

AND

1)The District Level Committee for Sand Auctions,

Guntur, Guntur dist.

2)The District Panchayat Officer-cum-Member Convener,

District Level Committee, Guntur, Guntur dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the proceedings in RoC No.230/2001/G4, dated 21.4.2002 issued by the District Panchayat Officer, Guntur – cum-Member Convener of the District Level Committee, Guntur, the second respondent herein, pursuant to the resolution dated 21.4.2002 passed by the District Level Committee, Guntur, the first respondent herein, canceling the lease deed dated 6.6.2001 executed by the second respondent in petitioner's favour and further directing the petitioner to vacate and stop quarrying sand in Reach No.2, Praturu village, Tadepally mandal, Guntur district of Krishna river from 3.5 KM to 6.5 KM as arbitrary, illegal, violative of the principles of natural justice, contrary to G.O.Ms.No.1, Industries and Commerce, dated 1.1.2001 apart from being violative of the Constitutional right guaranteed to petitioner under Article 300-A of the Constitution of

India and colourable exercise of power vested with the respondents and consequently direct the second respondent to permit the petitioner to quarry sand in Reach No.2, Praturu village, Tadepally mandal, Guntur district of Krishna river from 3.5 KM to 6.5 KM till 6.6.2002.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.A.ANANTHA REDDY, Advocate
(not present)

Counsel for the Respondents: Advocate General.

The Court made the following :

O R D E R :

None for the Petitioner.

The learned counsel for respondents says that by passage of time, the petition has been rendered infructuous.

Writ Petition is disposed of as such.

08.07.2004

Copy to:

1)The District Panchayat Officer-cum-Member Convener,

District Level Committee, Guntur, Guntur dist.

2)The District Level Committee for Sand Auctions, Guntur, Guntur dist.

3)Two CCs. to the Advocate General, High Court Buildings, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.

4)Two CD copies.

TVR/DRK